

ALEXANDRIAN NUMERALS AND LANGUAGE AS

NUMBERS

I
II
III
IV
5
6
*
VII
8 9
X x
etc.

Here we realize the innate POTENTIAL for "infinite use of infinite means" or rather, making sense of raw data and construing anything remotely mathematical, or evidently "non-sensical" (i.e. schizophasiaesque) as being a "language" [obviously LONG since derived]. It's a matter of semiotics and pragmatics being a rational foundation for continued research, conversely, "computational linguistics". We see that we can represent a syntactic indicative or the personal pronoun "myself" in CONTEXT or as having the innate capacity when deployed in differential contexts to represent the natural number "1". This applies to EVERYTHING we have listed in the left-hand column of this note, even though we have only COUNTED (so to speak) up to "ten" with an [x] denoting an "unknown variable" relative to whatever quantity we choose to apply it to, and the syntactic unit "etc." which can also be described as being a SOMEWHAT "unknown variable" or adjunct [x] when combined in mathematical-linguistics as well as an asterisk used to LITERALLY connote a CORRECTION, a linguistics-related ERROR, a MISTAKE or PRETTY MUCH ANYTHING. For example, we also realize we can deconstruct language "quantities" into mathematical terms, because language is like mathematics, only instead of being ABSTRACT it is innately RELATABLE to the mass populace.

Also note the way these mathematical lexical items are "scattered" or APPEAR to be "scattered" in presentation ALONE. It's a basis for achieving "infinity" in both use and means, which is a NEW idea for theoretical linguistics and is detailed in forthcoming documents regarding the ToE and multifaceted dialectic cryptography, our meta-theories, artistic work, and what we call the "Asymmetrical Syntax" of BOTH language AND mathematics. Fieni!