

IDEA: SUPRANATIONAL NON-MONETARY

EQUILIBRIUM

I am not an economist, actuary, banker or EVEN AN accountant, nor do I want to pretend to be ONE here; however, there is this long since “thing” between mathematics and economics and MONEY. I had been doing some reading on Gunnar Myrdal’s “monetary equilibrium” for which he was awarded the Nobel and I came up with the “inverse” of THAT “ideal” (or a facsimile thereof). So here’s an idea I came up with back in 2012 and was noted extemperaneously in 2013 (and YES, it does draw on the “second Bill of Rights” proposed by former President FDR, with some minor AND significant MODIFICATIONS) :

////////////////////////////////////

The script for supranational–non monetary equilibrium with SUCCESS include ALL OF the following precepts/desired outcomes:

- (a) a social floor
- (b) basic fundamental care
- (c) living wage for every job
- (d) (with) unlimited upward class mobility
- (e) on a supranational stage/in cooperation, etc.

Brought to my attention were items predicated upon the concerns that it would be incautious to instantiate such a HUGE overhaul of economic infrastructure and retain “optimum capitalist modality” WITHOUT the use of currency as a component or “agent” of UPWARD MOBILITY; that is, it could be used as some kind of a device to keep the structure intact, but not a “for profit” system.

Of course, any sort of public opinion trajectory might indicate that this is a good idea [especially when you mention “unlimited upward class mobility” to any self–respecting capitalist], therefore, etc. Furthermore, a state administration would have the capacity to act without going through the requisite processes defined by outmoded legislation. So in the frenzy of all things POLITICAL it is difficult to “substantially outline” this idea if followed consistently on a “long run” level, (as subsequent input has suggested it is unlikely to ever come to pass) but would likely result in an obligatory higher level of socioeconomic enlightenment.

Therefore, in 2012 I first formulated these topicalities with bankers in Kansas and Missouri, and an economics professor at the University of Missouri–Kansas City and we talked optimistically about whatever would impact “economic liberals” and “economic conservatives” and with the interests relating also to the factions allying themselves (or henceforth FORMING) a hybrid between conscientious capitalism and (approximately) democratic socialism, with various mathematicians, social theorists and economists providing derivation clear back to Trotsky.

And this IDEAL naturally would transcend any remaining issues on the supranational stage...it seems plausible that it’s a moot point; this economic system (if correctly detailed and implemented and discussed in more serious sessions) could have the potential to stave off crises and failures of both capitalism AND communism in the near future...but it’s not a “near future” project....

////////////////////////////////////

to be continued...

